

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/844,925	Applicant(s) LEVENBERG, RICHARD MARK	
	Examiner KRISTIE D. SHINGLES	Art Unit 2444	

All Participants:

(1) KRISTIE D. SHINGLES, Examiner.

(2) Shawn Diedrich, Atty.

Date of Interview: 12/15/09

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:
none

Claims discussed:
1, 7, 9, 18 and 22

Prior art documents discussed:
none

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Kristie D. Shingles/
Examiner, Art Unit 2444

Status of Application: ALLOWED

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 10:00am

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: With Primary approval, Examiner contacted Atty to propose an Examiner's Amendment that would place the claims into condition for allowance. Examiner suggested incorporating the limitations of claim 7 into each independent claim. Atty authorized this amendment and the claims were amended accordingly.